Revised Evaluation Scores

Bike and Pedestrian

This page provides a summary of any revisions made to the draft scores presented at the December 2nd Attributable Funds Committee meeting. The information below highlights the items considered to revise a score and/or modify the rationale based on feedback from AFC members. The complete revised scores and rationales are then provided on the following pages.

Economic Opportunity Comments and Revisions

No revisions.

Natural Resources Comments and Revisions

No revisions.

Collaboration Comments and Revisions

501 – Sancus Boulevard SUP, from Worthington Galena Road to Worthington Woods Boulevard – Comment received questioning this project being the only one receiving a Collaboration score of 1 across all categories, especially highlighting the collaboration on the project to date. Upon review, it was noticed that the relatively smaller amount of funding being requested and the amount of collaboration to date had not been appropriately scored relative to the other project in the category. This increased the score from 1 to 3.

502 - Big Walnut Trail - Little Turtle to Cherrybottom Park/Alum Creek Trail – The preliminary draft scores incorrectly reported the collaboration score for this project. The score has been updated from a 4 to a 5. There is no change to the rationale.

Energy Comments and Revisions

No revisions.

Health, Safety and Welfare Comments and Revisions

No revisions.

Sustainable Neighborhoods Comments and Revisions

No revisions.

Economic Opportunity Summary

Bike/F	Pedestrian	To	tal S	core
	Map ID Project Title	En	EO	We
Score	Rationale	Co	SN	NR
<u>50</u>	Sancus Boulevard SUP, from Worthington Galena Road to Worthington Woods Boulevard			52.5
7	The two projects in this category are equal with regard to economic opportunity. This project edges out	2.0	3.5	17.5
	the other project in terms of other considerations with the location being in an opportunity zone and additional focus that brings in making overall improvements to the area of which this MUP project is part of. However, the existing jobs in immediate vicinity of project is lower than the other project.	4.5	24.5	0.5
<u>50</u>	Big Walnut Trail - Little Turtle to Cherrybottom Park/Alum Creek Trail			58.0
7	The two projects in this category are equal with regard to economic opportunity. This project is just	2.0	3.5	24.5
	behind the other project in category in regard to other considerations cited in the application. However, the existing jobs in immediate vicinity of project is higher than the other project.	6.0	21.0	1.0

Collaboration Summary

Bike/F	Pedestrian	To	otal S	core
	Map ID Project Title	En	EO	We
Score	Rationale	Co	SN	NR
<u>50</u>	Big Walnut Trail - Little Turtle to Cherrybottom Park/Alum Creek Trail			59.5
5	Requesting a smaller than typical proportion (less than 70%) of project costs. However, request for 76%	2.0	3.5	24.5
	of projected available funds negates the larger match. No funding partners. Support letters from one local agency, three neighborhood associations, one advocacy organization, and 12 individual households. Need identified in greenway plans and an area plan. Developer contribution of right-of-way and construction valued at \$0.5 million. Sponsor's top priority in Bike/Ped category. Sponsor is not a small agency.	7.5	21.0	1.0
<u>50</u>	Sancus Boulevard SUP, from Worthington Galena Road to Worthington Woods Boulevard			52.5
3	Typical matching percentage. Smaller amount requested. No funding partners. Support letters from	2.0	3.5	17.5
	e local agencies. Need identified through coordination with other agencies. No PDP progress. No 4 lete sector funding. Not the sponsor's top priority in the Bike/Ped category. Sponsor is not a small licy.	4.5	24.5	0.5

Energy Summary

Bike/Pedestrian		7	Total Scor		
<u> </u>	Map ID Project Title		EO	We	
Score	Rationale	Co	SN	NR	
<u>50</u>	Sancus Boulevard SUP, from Worthington Galena Road to Worthington	n Woods Boulevard		52.5	
4	This project has the potential to reduce regional VMT equal to the other project	ct in the category. There 2.0	3.5	17.5	
	are no other extraordinary energy saving aspects of this project.	4.5	24.5	0.5	
<u>50</u>	Big Walnut Trail - Little Turtle to Cherrybottom Park/Alum Creek Trail			58.0	
4	This project has the potential to reduce regional VMT equal to the other project	ct in the category. There 2.0	3.5	24.5	
	are no other extraordinary energy saving aspects of this project.	6.0	21.0	1.0	

Natural Resources Summary

Bike/Pedestrian		7	Total Sco		
<u>!</u>	Map ID Project Title	En	EO	We	
Score	Rationale	Co	SN	NR	
<u>50</u>	Big Walnut Trail - Little Turtle to Cherrybottom Park/Alum Creek Trail			58.0	
2	This project does not have a significant positive or negative impact on natural reso	ources, except that it 2.0	3.5	24.5	
	will remove invasives within the project zone and re-plant with native species.	6.0	21.0	1.0	
<u>50</u>	Sancus Boulevard SUP, from Worthington Galena Road to Worthington Wo	oods Boulevard		52.5	
1	This project does not have a significant positive or negative impact on natural reso	urces. 2.0	3.5	17.5	
		4.5	24.5	0.5	

Sustainable Neighborhoods Summary

Bike/F	Pedestrian	To	otal S	core
	Map ID Project Title	En	EO	We
Score	Rationale	Co	SN	NR
<u>50</u>	Sancus Boulevard SUP, from Worthington Galena Road to Worthington Woods Boulevard			52.5
7	The area surronding this project has a higher density of people and jobs than the other application in	2.0	3.5	17.5
	this category. This project will construct a MUP which will connect to various pedestrian facilities, as well as other bike facilities which are either exisiting or under construction. This project will potentially support first/last mile connections for transit service.	4.5	24.5	0.5
<u>50</u>	Big Walnut Trail - Little Turtle to Cherrybottom Park/Alum Creek Trail			58.0
6	The area surronding this project has a lower density of people and jobs than the other application in this	2.0	3.5	24.5
	category, but this project will provide a significant number of people with enhanced access to the larger trail system. This project will potentially support first/last mile connections for transit service.	6.0	21.0	1.0

Health, Safety, and Welfare Summary

Bike/Pedestrian		Total Score		core
<u>!</u>	Map ID Project Title	En	EO	We
Score	Rationale	Co	SN	NR
<u>50</u>	Big Walnut Trail - Little Turtle to Cherrybottom Park/Alum Creek Trail			58.0
7	This project has a greater potential to reduce crashes than the other project in this category. Project serves a higher proportion of environmental justice populations as compared to the other project in this category.	2.0 6.0	3.5 21.0	24.5 1.0
<u>50</u> 5	Sancus Boulevard SUP, from Worthington Galena Road to Worthington Woods Boulevard This project has a lesser potential to reduce crashes than the other project in this category. Project serves a lower proportion of environmental justice populations as compared to the other project in this category.		3.5 24.5	52.5 17.5 0.5